REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is requested.

Claims 1-10 remain in the application. Claims 1, 5, 7 and 9 have been

amended to address formal rejections in the office action.

The original claims were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

The Examiner noted a few instances where terms did not have proper antecedent basis.

Claims 1, 5, 7 and 9 have been amended slightly in accordance with the very

helpful comments in the office action. These amendments are entered for purposes of

patentability under 35 USC 112. However, the amendments are not narrowing in nature

and address only formal issues.

The applicants and the assignee are pleased to note that the Examiner

considers claims 1-10 to be directed to patentable subject matter. The Examiner indicated

that each of claims 1-10 would be allowed if amended to overcome the rejections under 35

USC 112.

In view of the preceding amendments and remarks, it is submitted that the

rejections under 35 USC 112 have been addressed. Accordingly, it is believed that the

application is in condition for allowance and issuance of a Notice of Allowance is solicited.

The Examiner is urged to contact applicants attorney at the number below to expedite the

prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Gerald E. Hespos

Atty. Reg. No. 30,066

Customer No. 001218

CASELLA & HESPOS LLP

274 Madison Avenue - Suite 1703

New York, NY 10016

Tel. (212) 725-2450

Fax (212) 725-2452

Date: March 10, 2005

5